

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/740,215	12/18/2000	Eugene H. Carlson	55313USA6A.002	4346	
32692	7590 01/06/2005		EXAMINER		
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427			AHMAD, NASSER		
	MN 55133-3427		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ŕ			1772		

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		• •					
Office Action Summary		09/740,215	CARLSON ET AL.				
Office Action 3	ummary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Nasser Ahmad	1772				
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTOR THE MAILING DATE OF TH - Extensions of time may be available u after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin - If the period for reply specified above i - If NO period for reply is specified above - Failure to reply within the set or extend Any reply received by the Office later the earned patent term adjustment. See 3	IS COMMUNICATION.  Inder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  Inder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  Index of this communication.  Ind	6(a). In no event, however, may a rep within the statutory minimum of thirty ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
Status							
1) Responsive to commu	nication(s) filed on <u>29</u> Ju	<u>ne 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .							
3) Since this application is	<del>'-</del>						
Disposition of Claims				,			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 63,64,66-73 a  4a) Of the above claim( 5) □ Claim(s) is/are a 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 63,64,66-73 a  7) □ Claim(s) is/are a 8) □ Claim(s) are sul	s) is/are withdravallowed. Ind 75-103 is/are rejecte Objected to.	n from consideration.					
Application Papers		,					
9) The specification is obje	ected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not reques	t that any objection to the	frawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
·	• •	·	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 Office Action or form PTO-152				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is ma  a) All b) Some * c)  1. Certified copies  2. Certified copies  3. Copies of the ce  application from	☐ None of: of the priority documents of the priority documents rtified copies of the prior the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Ap ity documents have been r	plication No eceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)							
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-2)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dr</li> </ol>			mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date				
Notice of Draitsperson's Patent Draitsp			ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/740,215 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 29, 2004 has been entered.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 66-70, 72-73, 75-82, 87-103 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Olsen (5620613).

Olsen relates to a retroreflective article comprising an elongate carrier (16) having first and second major surfaces, a plurality of discrete segments of retroreflective sheeting (20 and 24) having a first major viewing surface and a second major opposing surface opposed to the first major viewing surface, the first major viewing surface being disposed ton the first surface of the elongate carrier (see figure-1), and the second major surface of the segments comprises an adhesive (28) that is co-terminus with the

boundaries of the segment. Figure-1 also shows that some of the segments are separated from adjacent segments by a gap. Figure-3 shows that the carrier extends past the periphery of the discrete segments. The segments can form a letter or a pattern as a design. The second major surface of the carrier comprises a release surface (col. 4, lines 10-17) and the retroreflective sheeting's first surface is attached to the release surface of the carrier. The first adhesion is inherently greater than the second adhesion because it allows for the transfer of the segments to a substrate. The second surface of the retroreflective sheeting comprises an adhesive adjacent the release surface (col. 9, lines 50-56). The sheeting comprises retroreflective sheeting (figure-1) and is adhered to a flexible sheeting (col. 9, lines 1-5).

The shape of the substrate being curved, or being adhered to a vehicle/traffic cone/traffic barrel/garment, etc. have not been given patentable weight because they are directed to an intended use of the article and hence, are not found to of positive limitation.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 63-64, 66-73 and 75-103 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olsen.

Application/Control Number: 09/740,215

Art Unit: 1772

Olsen, as discussed above, fails o teach that the segments are separated by a distance given by the formula in claim 63 and that the segment length is based on the formula in claim 64. It would have been obvious matter of design choice to modify Olsen by providing the optimum separating distance between segments and the optimum length of each segment, based on optimization through routine experimentation, to provide for spacing the segments in a pattern for aesthetic appeal.

Similarly, the gap distance of 2-4 times the substrate thickness and the segment length being 25-250 mm would have obvious optimum dimensions based on optimization through routine experimentation as discussed hereinabove.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide the retroreflective article in a roll form for facilitating storage and/or transportation.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. Claims 63-64, 66-73 and 75-103 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Olsen (5916399).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art only under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) might be overcome by: (1) a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not an invention "by another"; (2) a showing of a date of invention for the claimed subject matter of the application which corresponds to subject matter disclosed but not claimed in the reference, prior to the effective U.S. filing date of the reference under 37 CFR

Application/Control Number: 09/740,215

Art Unit: 1772

1.131; or (3) an oath or declaration under 37 CFR 1.130 stating that the application and reference are currently owned by the same party and that the inventor named in the application is the prior inventor under 35 U.S.C. 104, together with a terminal disclaimer in accordance with 37 CFR 1.321(c). For applications filed on or after November 29, 1999, this rejection might also be overcome by showing that the subject matter of the reference and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person. See MPEP § 706.02(I)(1) and § 706.02(I)(2).

Olsen relates to a retroreflective article comprising an elongate carrier, plurality of segments of retroreflective sheeting having opposed major viewing surfaces, and an adhesive provided on the second exposed surface of the sheeting. The other features of invention as claimed is discussed hereinabove in the paragraphs 3 and 5.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nasser Ahmad whose telephone number is 571-272-1487. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM, and on alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/740,215

Art Unit: 1772

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nasser Ahmad Primary Examiner Art Unit 1772

N. Ahmad. January 4, 2005.